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#### Abstract

In this paper we treat two special Hermite-Birkhoff interpolation problems in the space of spline functions and develop some recursion relations for the calculation of the solutions of these interpolation problems. Furthermore we show that these formulas can be used for the continuous approximation of the solution of a nonlinear two-point boundary value problem. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Let $[a, b]$ be a finite real interval, $N, m$ positive integers, $m \geqslant 3$. $h=(b-a) /(N+1)$, and $x_{i}:=a+i h(i=0, \ldots, N+1)$. Denote by $S_{m}(\Delta)$ the space of polynomial spline functions of degree $m$ with simple knots $x_{1}$ $(i=1, \ldots, N)$. The $B$-splines associated with the given knot partition $A$ are defined by

$$
B_{m, i}(x):=\frac{1}{h^{m} \cdot m!} \sum_{v=0}^{m+1}(-1)^{v}\binom{m+1}{v}\left(x-x_{t+v}\right)_{+}^{m_{1}} .
$$

In various topics of numerical analysis the following Hermite-Birkhoff interpolation problem arises. Given real data $y_{i}, M_{i}(i=0, \ldots, N+1)$ a spline function $s$ is looked for such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& s \in S_{m}(\Delta) \\
& s\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i} \quad(i=0, \ldots, N+1) .  \tag{1.3}\\
& s^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=M_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]The interpolation problem (1.1) is not uniquely solvable for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For example, if $m$ is even then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}(x)=\sum_{i=-m}^{N}(-1)^{i} B_{m, i}(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nontrivial nullspline, i.e., $s_{0}\left(x_{i}\right)=s_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=0(i=0, \ldots, N+1)$.
Therefore we also consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& s \in S_{m}(\Delta) \\
& s\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}, \quad s^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=M_{i} \quad(i=0, \ldots, N+1)  \tag{1.3}\\
& s^{(m-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{(m-1)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $y_{i}, M_{i}$, and $y_{0}^{(m-1)}$ are given real data.
In Section 2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions such that problem (1.1) ((1.3) respectively) is solvable. The main purpose of this paper is to develop some recursion relations which are useful in order to construct the solutions of (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. These recursion relations generalize the corresponding formulas of Usmani [7] and Usmani and Warsi [8], who have developed it for the case $m=5$.

## 2. On the Solvability of a Special Hermite-Birkhoff Problem

We introduce the following notations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
b_{m, l}^{(v)}:=B_{m, i}^{(v)}\left(x_{i+1}\right), \quad b_{m, l}:=b_{m, l}^{(0)}, \\
(v=0, \ldots, m-1 ; i=-m, \ldots, N ; l=0, \ldots, m+1) \\
a_{l}^{(m)}:=(m-2)!h^{2} b_{m, l+1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}
c_{l}^{(m)}:=m!b_{m, l+1} \\
s:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(m-1) / 2 & \text { if } m \text { is odd } \\
(m-2) / 2 & \text { if } m \text { is even. } .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

The polynomials $p_{m}, q_{m} \in \pi_{2 s}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{m}(x):=\sum_{l=0}^{2 s} c_{l}^{(m)} x^{l}  \tag{2.4}\\
& q_{m}(x):=\sum_{l=0}^{2 s} a_{l}^{(m)} x^{l} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $a_{l}^{(m)}=c_{l}^{(m-2)}-2 c_{l-1}^{(m-1)}+c_{l-2}^{(m-2)}$, hence $q_{m}(x)=(x-1)^{2} P_{m-2}(x)$. In [4] we were able to show that all zeros of $q_{m}$ (and thus all zeros of $p_{m}$ )
are real. Denote by $P_{m}=\left\{\mu_{0}(m), \ldots, \mu_{2 s-1}(m)\right\}$ and $Q_{m}=\left\{1, \lambda_{2}(m), \ldots\right.$, $\left.\lambda_{2 s-1}(m)\right\}$ the set of zeros of $p_{m}$ and $q_{m}$, respectively. We remark that -1 is a common zero of $p_{m}$ and $q_{m}$ if $m$ is even.

The next theorem gives criterions for the solvability of the Birkhof: problems (1.1) and (1.3):

Theorem 2.1. (1) Let $m \geqslant 3$ be odd and so chosen that $P_{m} \cap Q_{m}=\varnothing$ and $N \geqslant m-3$. Then the Hermite-Birkhoff problem (1.1) is uniquety solvable, if and only if

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{m . l} M_{i+l}=\sum_{l=1}^{m} b_{m, l}^{\prime \prime} y_{i+i} \quad(i=-1, \ldots, N-m+1)
$$

(2) Let $m \geqslant 4$ be even, $P_{m} \cap Q_{m}=\{-1\}$, and $N \geqslant m-4$. Then problem (1.3) is uniquely solvable if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\mu}(-1)^{\mu-\sigma} b_{m, \sigma}^{\prime \prime} y_{i+\mu}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\mu}(-1)^{\mu-\sigma} b_{m, \sigma} M_{i+\mu} \\
& \quad(i=-1, \ldots, N-m+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

A proof of this theorem can be found in [4, pp. 54-57].
Remark. If $m \leqslant 9$ then

$$
P_{m} \cap Q_{m}= \begin{cases}\varnothing & \text { if } \quad m \text { is odd } \\ \{-1\} & \text { if } \quad m \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Thus in this case (1.1) is solvable if and only if $m$ is odd and (1.3) is solvable if and only if $m$ is even.

## 3. Construction of Spline Solutions

In this section we develop some new recursion relations, which can be used in order to construct the solutions of the Birkhoff problems (1.1) and (1.3). Denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{m} a_{i v}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{m-v} \quad(i=0, \ldots, N) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the restriction of such a solution $s \in S_{m}(\Delta)$ on the interval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$. For brevity we set

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{i}^{(v)} & :=p_{i}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad(v=0, \ldots, m-1) \quad(i=0, \ldots, N) .  \tag{3.2}\\
p_{i} & :=p_{i}^{(0)}=p_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## First Case: m Odd

First we shall express the coefficients $a_{i v}(v=0, \ldots, m ; i=0, \ldots, N)$ by the numbers $p_{i}^{(v)}(v=0,2,4, \ldots, m-1)$. If $v$ is odd, then obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i v}=\frac{1}{(m-v)!} p_{i}^{(m-v)} \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other coefficients can be computed by the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let the coefficients $c_{k, 1}$ and $c_{k, 0}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{0,1}=1 \quad c_{2,1}=-\frac{1}{3!} \quad c_{4,1}=-\frac{1}{5!}+\frac{1}{3!^{2}} \\
& c_{k, 1}=-\frac{c_{0,1}}{(k+1)!}-\frac{c_{2,1}}{(k-1)!}-\cdots-\frac{c_{k-2.1}}{3!} \\
& c_{k, 0}=-\frac{c_{0,1}}{k!}-\frac{c_{2,1}}{(k-2)!}-\cdots-\frac{c_{k-2,1}}{2!}-c_{k, 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i, m-v}= & \frac{1}{v!\cdot h}\left(c_{0,1} p_{i+1}^{(v-1)}+c_{0,0} p_{i}^{(v-1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{h}{v!}\left(c_{2,1} p_{i+1}^{(v+1)}+c_{2,0} p_{i}^{(v+1)}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\frac{h^{m-v-1}}{v!}\left(c_{m-v, 1} p_{i+1}^{(m-1)}\right. \\
& \left.+c_{m-v .0} p_{i}^{(m-1)}\right) \\
& \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m ; i=0, \ldots, N)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 can be easily carried out by expanding $p_{i+1}^{(1))}$ on the right-hand side in a Taylor series.

Lemma 3.2. With the above introduced constants $c_{k .1}$ and $c_{k .0}$ the following equations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h}\left(c_{0,1} p_{i+1}^{(v-1)}+2 c_{0,0} p_{i}^{(v-1)}+c_{0,1} p_{i-1}^{(v-1)}\right) \\
& \quad+h\left(c_{2,1} p_{i+1}^{(v+1)}+2 c_{2,0} p_{i}^{(v+1)}+c_{2,1} p_{i+1}^{(v+1)}\right. \\
& \quad+\cdots+h^{m-v-1}\left(c_{m-v, 1} p_{i+1}^{(m-1)}+2 c_{m-v, 0} p_{i}^{(m-1)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+c_{m-v, 1} p_{i-1}^{(m-1)}\right)=0 \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-2 ; i=1, \ldots, N) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Because of $p_{i-1}^{(\prime)}\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, N)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{m-v} \frac{(m-j)!}{(m-j-v)!} h^{m-j-v} a_{i-1, j}=v!a_{i, m-v} \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-2) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if the coefficients $a_{i-1, j}$ and $a_{i, j}$ in (3.4) are replaced by the corresponding terms in (3.3) then we obtain equations of the type

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant j \leqslant m-r \\
j \text { even }}} h^{j-1}\left(c_{j, 1} p_{i+1}^{(v+j-1)}+2 d_{\jmath, 0} p_{t}^{(1+i-1)}+d_{, 1} p_{i-1}^{(1+1-i)}\right)=0 \\
(v=1,3, \ldots, m-2 ; i=1, \ldots, N) . \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

It remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j, 1}=c_{i, 1}, \quad d_{j, 0}=c_{j, 0} \quad(j=0,2, \ldots, m-1) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove $d_{j .1}=c_{j, 1}$ we first remark that (3.5) is also valid after interchanging $c_{j, 1}$ and $d_{j, 1}$, because (3.5) can be applied on the "reflected" spline $\tilde{s}(x)=s\left(2 x_{i}-x\right)$. Subtracting both equations leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant j \leq m-v \\ j \text { even }}} h^{i-1} e_{j}\left(p_{i+1}^{(v+1-1)}-p_{i-1}^{(v+j-1)}\right)=0 \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-2) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{j}=c_{j, 1}-d_{j, 1}(j=0,2, \ldots, m-v)$.
Expanding (3.7) in a Taylor series at $x_{i-1}$ it follows that $e_{1}=0$. The proof of $d_{j, 0}=c_{j, 0}$ can be carried out by induction on $j$ : Obviously we have $d_{0.0}=-c_{0,1}=c_{0,0}$. Assume that $d_{j-2.0}=c_{j-2,0}$. By expanding (3.5) iria Taylor series again it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{j, 0}= & -c_{j, 1}-\frac{2}{2!} c_{j-2,1}-\frac{1}{2!} c_{j-i, 0}-\cdots-\frac{2^{j-1}}{j!} c_{0,1}-\frac{1}{j!} c_{0,0} \\
= & -c_{j, 1}-\left(\frac{2}{2!}-\frac{1}{2!}\right) c_{j-2,1}-\left(\frac{2^{3}}{4!}-\frac{1}{2!2!}-\frac{1}{4!}\right) c_{j-4,1}-\cdots \\
& -\left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{j!}-\frac{1}{2!(j-2)!}-\frac{1}{4!(j-4)!}-\cdots-\frac{1}{j!}\right) c_{0.1} \\
= & -c_{j, 1}-\frac{1}{2!} c_{j-2,1}-\cdots-\frac{1}{j!} c_{0.1}=c_{j .0}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

The equations of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 can be used for computing all coefficients $a_{i v}$ of $p_{i}(i=0, \ldots, N)$, if problem (1.1) is solvable.

Example. For $m=5$ we obtain the following formulas, which were already developed by Usmani [7, p. 157]

$$
\begin{align*}
7 p_{i+1}^{(4)} & +16 p_{i}^{(4)}+7 p_{i-1}^{(4)} \\
& =60\left(p_{i+1}^{(2)}+4 p_{i}^{(2)}+p_{i-1}^{(2)}\right) / h^{2}-360\left(p_{i+1}-2 p_{i}+p_{i-1}\right) / h^{4}  \tag{3.8}\\
p_{i+1}^{(4)} & +4_{p_{l}}^{(4)}+p_{i-1}^{(4)} \\
& =6\left(p_{i+1}^{(2)}-2 p_{i}^{(2)}+p_{i-1}^{(2)}\right) / h^{2} \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## Second Case: m Even

In this case it is not possible to express $a_{i v}$ as linear combinations of $p_{i}^{(v)}$ ( $v$ even). Additionally one of the values $p_{i}^{(v)}(v$ odd; $i=0, \ldots, N+1$ ) is needed. The following lemma contains an analogous statement like Lemma 3.1 and can be easily proved by Taylor's formula.

Lemma 3.3. Let $i \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i, m-v}= & \frac{p_{i}^{(v)}}{v!} \quad(v=0,2,4, \ldots, m-2, m-1) \\
a_{i, m-v}= & \frac{1}{v!} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-v-3 \\
k \text { even }}} h^{k-1}\left(c_{k, 1} p_{i+1}^{(v+k-1)}+c_{k, 0} p_{i}^{(v+k-1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{h^{m-v-2}}{v!}\left(\tilde{c}_{m-v-1,1} p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}+\tilde{c}_{m-v-1,0} p_{i}^{(m-2)}\right) \\
& +\frac{h^{m-v-1}}{v!} \tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} p_{i}^{(m-1)} \quad(i=0, \ldots, N ; v=1,3, \ldots, m-3) \\
a_{i, 0}= & \frac{2}{m!h^{2}}\left(p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}-p_{i}^{(m-2)}\right)-\frac{2}{m!h} p_{i}^{(m-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients $\tilde{c}_{k, 1}, \tilde{c}_{k+1,0}$, and $\tilde{c}_{k, 0}$ are recursively defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c}_{k, 1} & =-2\left(\frac{c_{k-2.1}}{4!}+\frac{c_{k-4,1}}{6!}+\cdots+\frac{c_{0,1}}{(k+2)!}\right) \\
\tilde{c}_{k+1,0} & =-\tilde{c}_{k, 1}-\frac{c_{k-2,1}}{3!}-\cdots-\frac{c_{0,1}}{(k+1)} \\
\tilde{c}_{k, 0} & =-\tilde{c}_{k, 1}-\frac{c_{k-2,1}}{2!}-\cdots-\frac{c_{0,1}}{k!} \quad(k=m-2, m-4, \ldots, 0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4. The following recursion relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m-3} h^{k-1}\left(c_{k, 1} p_{i+1}^{(v+k-1)}+2 c_{k, 0} p_{i}^{(v+k-1)}+c_{k .1} p_{i-1}^{(v+k-1)}\right) \\
& \quad+h^{m-v-2}\left(\bar{c}_{m-v-1.1} p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}+\gamma_{m-v} p_{i}^{(m-2)}+\beta_{m-v} p_{i-1}^{(m-2)}\right) \\
& \quad+h^{m-v-1}\left(\tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} p_{i}^{(m-1)}+\alpha_{m-v} p_{i-1}^{(m-1)}\right)=0 \\
& \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-3 ; i=1, \ldots, N) \\
& p_{i}^{(m-1)}+p_{i-1}^{(m-1)}-\frac{2}{h}\left(p_{i}^{(m-2)}-p_{i-1}^{(m-2)}\right)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, N+1), \quad(3 . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{v}:=\tilde{c}_{v, 0}, \beta_{v}:=\tilde{c}_{v-1.1}+2 \tilde{c}_{v, 0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{v}:= & -\sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant k \leqslant v-3 \\
k \text { even }}}\left(\frac{2^{v-k-1}}{(v-k-1)!} c_{k, 1}+\frac{2}{(v-k-1)!} c_{k, 0}\right) \\
& -2 \tilde{c}_{v-1,1}-2 \tilde{c}_{v, 0} \quad(v=3, \ldots, m-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It can be carried out similarly as the proof of Lemma 3.2. We consider the equalities $p_{i-1}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and replace the coefficients $a_{i j}$ by the terms calculated in Lemma 3.3. Note that for any $m$ the coefficients $a_{i j}$ could be represented as linear combinations of $p_{i+1}^{(k)}, p_{i}^{(k)}(k=0,2, \ldots$,$) and$ that in both cases (Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3) the coefficients $c_{k-r+1,1}$, $c_{k-v+1,0}$ corresponding to $p_{i+1}^{(k)}, p_{i}^{(k)}$ are the same for $k \leqslant m-4$. Thus in the equations of Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 the same coefficients of $p_{i+1}^{(k)}$. $p_{i}^{(k)}$ occur for $k \leqslant m-4$. The coefficients $\tilde{c}_{m-v-1.1}$ and $\tilde{c}_{m-v, 0}$ corresponding to $p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}$ and $p_{i}^{(m-1)}$ in (3.10) also follow from $p_{i-1}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{i}^{(v)}\left(x_{i}\right)$ $(v=1,3, \ldots, m-1)$.

It remains to prove the equations for $\alpha_{v}, \beta_{v}$, and $\gamma_{v}$. Replacing $s$ by $\tilde{s}(x):=s\left(2 x_{i}-x\right)$ in (3.10) and taking into consideration that $\tilde{s}_{i-1}^{(v)}=$ $(-1)^{v} s_{i+1}^{(\nu)}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-3 \\
k \text { even }}} h^{k-1}\left(c_{k .1} p_{i+1}^{(v+k-1)}+2 c_{k, 0} p_{i}^{(v+k-1)}+c_{k, 1} p_{i-1}^{(v+k-1)}\right) \\
& \quad+h^{m-v-2}\left(\beta_{m-v} p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}+\gamma_{m-v} p_{i}^{(m-2)}+\tilde{c}_{m-v-1.1} p_{i-1}^{(m-2)}\right) \\
& \quad-h^{m-v-1}\left(\alpha_{m-v} p_{i+1}^{(m-1)}+\tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} p_{i}^{(m-1)}\right)=0 \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-3) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (3.11) from (3.10) and dividing by $h^{m-v-2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\tilde{c}_{m-v-1.1}-\beta_{m-v}\right)\left(p_{i+1}^{(m-2)}-p_{i-1}^{(m-2)}\right) \\
& +h\left(\alpha_{m-v} p_{i+1}^{(m-1)}+2 \tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} p_{i}^{(m-1)}+x_{m-v} p_{i-1}^{(m-1)}\right)=0 \\
& \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-3 ; i=1, \ldots, N) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We now replace $i$ by $i+1$ and use Taylor's formula for splines of degree $m$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{i+k}^{(l)}= & p_{i}^{(l)}+k h p_{i}^{(l+1)}+\cdots+\frac{k^{m-l-1}}{(m-l-1)!} h^{m-t-1} p_{i}^{(m-1)} \\
& +\frac{h^{m-1}}{(m-l)!} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1}\left((k-v)^{m-l}-(k-v-1)^{m-1}\right) p_{i+\nu}^{(m)} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{i+v}^{(m)}:=\lim _{\varepsilon \backslash 0} s^{(m)}\left(x_{i+v}+\varepsilon\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(-\beta_{m-v}+\tilde{c}_{m-v-1,1}+\alpha_{m-v}+\tilde{c}_{m-v, 0}\right) p_{i}^{(m-1)} \\
& \quad+\frac{h}{2}\left(2 \alpha_{m-v}-3 \beta_{m-v}+3 \tilde{c}_{m-v-1,1}+4 \tilde{c}_{m-v, 0}\right) p_{i}^{(m)} \\
& \quad+\frac{h}{2}\left(2 \alpha_{m-v}-\beta_{m-v}+\tilde{c}_{m-v-1,1}\right) p_{i+1}^{(m)}=0 \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-3) . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of the uniqueness of the Taylor coefficients the terms in brackets must be zero, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{m-v}=\tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} \\
& \beta_{m-v}=\tilde{c}_{m-v-1,1}+2 \tilde{c}_{m-v, 0} \quad(v=1,3, \ldots, m-3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same manner the equation for $\gamma_{m-v}$ can be derived. Thus the proof of Lemma 3.4 is complete.

Given $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{(2)}$ Lemma 3.4 can be used for computing all even derivatives $p_{i}^{(v)}(v=0,2, \ldots, m-2)$. In order to calculate $p_{i}^{(m-1)}(i=1, \ldots, N)$ $p_{0}^{(m-1)}$ must be fixed. For example one can demand

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{0}^{(m-1)}= & \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-4 \\
k \text { even }}} h^{k-m+1}\left(c_{k, 1} p_{2}^{(k)}+2 c_{k, 0} p_{1}^{(k)}+c_{k, 1} p_{0}^{(k)}\right) \\
& +\left(c_{m-2,1} p_{2}^{(m-2)}+\left(c_{m-2,0}+1\right) p_{1}^{(m-2)}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(c_{m-2,1}-1\right) p_{0}^{(m-2)}\right) / h . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Example. $m=6$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{i}(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{6} a_{i, 6-v}\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{v} \\
y_{i}:=p_{i}, M_{i}:=p_{i}^{(2)}, S_{i}=p_{i}^{(4)}, F_{i}=p_{i}^{(5)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the coefficients $a_{i j}$ of $p_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i, 0}= & \frac{1}{360 h^{2}}\left(S_{i+1}-S_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{360 h} F_{i} ; \quad a_{i, 1}=\frac{1}{120} F_{i} ; \\
a_{i, 2}= & \frac{1}{24} S_{i} ; \quad a_{i, 3}=\frac{1}{6 h}\left(M_{i+1}-M_{i}\right)-\frac{h}{72}\left(S_{i+1}+5 S_{i}\right)-\frac{h^{2}}{72} F_{i} ; \\
a_{t, 4}= & \frac{1}{2} M_{i} ; \\
a_{i, 5}= & \frac{1}{h}\left(y_{i+1}-y_{i}\right)-\frac{h}{6}\left(M_{i+1}+2 M_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{h^{3}}{360}\left(4 S_{i+1}+11 S_{i}\right)+\frac{h^{4}}{120} F_{i} ; \\
a_{i, 6}= & \ddots_{i} ; \quad(i=0, \ldots, N) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The formulas of Lemma 3.4 are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{h}\left(y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)-\frac{h}{6}\left(M_{i+1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{h^{3}}{360}\left(4 S_{i+1}+16 S_{i}+10 S_{i-1}\right)+\frac{h^{4}}{120}\left(F_{i}+F_{i-1}\right)=0  \tag{3.16}\\
& \frac{1}{h}\left(M_{i+1}-2 M_{i}+M_{i-1}\right)-\frac{h}{12}\left(S_{i+1}+8 S_{i}+3 S_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left(F_{i}+F_{i-1}\right)=0  \tag{3.17}\\
& \frac{2}{h}\left(S_{i}-S_{i-1}\right)-\left(F_{i}+F_{i-1}\right)=0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

We insert formula (3.18) in (3.16) and (3.17) and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{h}\left(y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)-\frac{h}{6}\left(M_{i+1}+4 M_{i}+M_{i-1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{h^{3}}{360}\left(4 S_{i+1}+22 S_{i}+4 S_{i-1}\right)=0  \tag{3.19}\\
& \frac{1}{h}\left(M_{i+1}-2 M_{i}+M_{i-1}\right)-\frac{h}{12}\left(S_{i+1}+10 S_{i}+S_{i-1}\right)=0 \\
& \quad(i=1, \ldots . N) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (see also Usmani [7, p. 160])

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\frac{20}{h^{4}}\left(y_{i+1}-2 y_{i}+y_{i-1}\right)-\frac{2}{3 h^{2}}\left(M_{i+1}+28 M_{i}+M_{i-1}\right) \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{0}$ and $S_{N+1}$ can be computed from formula (3.20). $F_{0}$ is given by (3.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{0}= & \frac{1}{h^{5}}\left(y_{2}-2 y_{1}+y_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{6 h^{3}}\left(M_{2}+4 M_{1}+M_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{360 h}\left(7 S_{2}+376 S_{1}-353 S_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $F_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N)$ by (3.18). Thus all values for computing the coefficients $a_{i j}$ are known.

## 4. Application to Spline Collocation

We give an example, where the Hermite-Birkhoff problems (1.1) and (1.3) play an important role.

Consider the two-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x) & =f(x, y(x)) \\
y(a) & =A  \tag{4.1}\\
y(b) & =B
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is a real-valued bivariate function and $A, B$ are given real numbers. A frequently used method for solving (4.1) numerically is the method of collocation: A spline function $s \in S_{m}(\Delta)$ is looked for such that

$$
\begin{align*}
s^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) & =f\left(x_{i}, s\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \quad i=0, \ldots, N+1 \\
s(a) & =A  \tag{4.2}\\
s(b) & =B
\end{align*}
$$

In [4] we showed that discrete values $s\left(x_{i}\right)(i=0, \ldots, N+1)$ can be obtained by the solution of the nonlinear system of equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} b_{m, \mu}^{(2)} s\left(x_{\mu+v-1}\right)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} b_{m . \mu} f\left(x_{\mu+v-1}, s\left(x_{\mu+v-1}\right)\right) \\
(v=0, \ldots, N-m+2)
\end{array}
$$

if $m$ is odd and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\mu}(-1)^{\mu-\sigma} b_{m, \sigma}^{(2)} s\left(x_{\mu+v-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma=1}^{\mu}(-1)^{\mu-\sigma} b_{m, \sigma} f\left(x_{\mu+v-1}, s\left(x_{\mu+v-1}\right)\right) \\
& \quad(v=0, \ldots, N-m+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $m$ is even. If $u$ denotes the exact solution of $(4.1)$, then the speed of convergence is given by

$$
\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant N^{N}}\left|u\left(x_{i}\right)-s\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=O\left(h^{\dot{m}}\right)
$$

where $\hat{m}=m$ if $m$ is even and $\hat{m}=m-1$ if $m$ is odd.
Now a global solution of (4.2) (i.e., the coefficients of a basis) can be calculated by the methods of Section 3. Furthermore it can be shown that the approximate solution $s$ converges uniformly to the exact solution $u$ of (4.1) with the following rate of convergence:

$$
\max _{x \in[a, b]}\left|u^{(v)}(x)-s^{(v)}(x)\right|=O\left(h^{m-v-1}\right) \quad(v=0, \ldots, m-1)
$$

For details, see [4, p. 70-79].

## Concluding Remark

It is quite easy to generalize the results of Sections 2 and 3 in order to solve Birkhoff problems of the type

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i} \\
& s^{(k)}\left(x_{i}\right)=y_{i}^{(k)} \\
& s \in S_{m}(\Delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{i}, y_{i}^{(k)}$ are given real numbers and $k$ is a given positive integer. These generalizations can be used for solving boundary value problems of the form $y^{(k)}(x)=f(x, y(x))$. Special cases are treated in the papers of Isa and Usmani [3] and Usmani [6].
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